

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/488,298	01/20/2000	Olivier Lutz	3874-128 US	4242
7:	590 12/04/2002			
Mary Kakefuda Esq.			EXAMINER	
Mathews Collins Shepherd & Gould P.A. 100 Thanet Circle Suite 306 Princeton, NJ 08540			KIM, JENNIFER M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
i inicoton, i i	,03.10		1617	
			DATE MAILED: 12/04/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application N .	Applicant(s)					
•	09/488,298	LUTZ ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
·	Jennifer Kim	1617					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, hower within the statutory min will apply and will expire to cause the application to	ever, may a reply be timely filed nimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. o become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 A	<u>pril 2002</u> .						
, , ,							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4,5 and 7-24</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4,5 and 7-24</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	r election requirei	ement.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)	ع العادية والتحديم <u>-</u>						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4)	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:	_				

(

Application/Control Number: 09/488,298

Art Unit: 1617

3,

DETAILED ACTION

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicants' submission filed on April 10, 2002 has been entered.

Applicants' arguments with respect to claims 1, 4, 5 and 7-24 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later



Art Unit: 1617

Ż,

invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1, 4, 5, and 7-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lambert et al. (U.S.Patent No. 6,458,373 B1).

Lambert et al. teach a micellar solution or an emulsion with a particle size of 10 to 500 nm, preferably 10 to 200nm and most preferably 10 to 100 nm comprising chemotherapeutics including podophyllotoxins (etoposide) and their derivatives and analogues, tocopherol and TGPS (d-α-tocopherol polyethyleneglycol 1000 succinate). (abstract, column 3, lines 45-58, column 4, lines 1-3, column 7, lines 39-65, column 8, line 59, column 10, lines 28-33, column 21, Example 23).

Lambert et al. teach use of Applicants' water-soluble polymer, polyoxypropylene-polyoxyethylene copoymer in the above composition.(column 3, lines 59-64).

Lambert et al. teach use any compound including peptides, lipid conjugates/produrgs, any natural or synthetic molecule which are slightly or completely lipophilic, and any molecules which stimulate the immune system in the above composition. (column 6, lines 54-56).

Lambert et al. teach the amounts of TPGS about 10% in the above composition. (column 21, Example 23).

Lambert et al. do not teach that tocopherol is covalently linked to a water-soluble polymer and the use of composition for treatment of cancer.

However, it would have been obvious to skill artisan to employ well known Lambert et al.'s composition in treatment of cancer since it is formulated with well known chemotherapeutical agent, etoposide and it's analogues in most desirable stable solution

Application/Control Number: 09/488,298

Art Unit: 1617

formulation. Further, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated with reasonable expectation of success to formulate above composition further comprising any molecules including natural or synthetic since Lambert et al. teach their usefulness and the compatibility in the above composition in general.

For these reasons the claimed subject matter is deemed to fail to patentably distinguish over the state of the art as represented by the cited references. The claims are therefore properly rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103.

None of the claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer Kim whose telephone number is 703-308-2232. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30am to 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreenivasan Padmanabhan can be reached on 703-305-1877. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4556 for regular communications and 703-308-4556 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1235.

Application/Control Number: 09/488,298

Art Unit: 1617

Fennifer Kim
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 1617

jmk December 2, 2002